

REMARKSStatus of Claims

Claims 1-6 were originally presented. Claims 1-6, as amended, remain in this application.

Action With Respect To Formalities

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6 are rejected under 35 USC 112 as indefinite. The present amendment remedies these informalities.

It is not clear why the language in claim 1 " . . . said front legs are adapted to pivot . . . etc. The structure by which the legs are so adapted is clearly shown in the drawing, and is described in the specification, starting 8 lines from the bottom of page 2.

Nevertheless, the language objected to is unnecessary and is now removed from claim 1.

The recited "snap lock positions" in claims 2, 6 are suggested in the drawing, but are not described in detail. They are not essential to the invention, and are now removed from the claims.

In claim 3, the recited "left and right levers operatively connected to each other to operate in unison" are likewise not clearly shown in the drawing. This recitation is removed from claim 3.

Conclusion


The foregoing amendment is thought to be fully responsive to the Office Action. The Examiner's suggestions are adopted. It appears therefore that this application is now in condition for allowance, and the Examiner is requested to render such action. If any questions remain, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned to resolve them.

Respectfully,

Date:

3/19/2005

Tel/Fax: (585) 248 2340

  
Robert J. Bird, Attorney  
Registration No. 22,957